

# Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Nagpur Constitute of Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune



#### Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report

Academic Year: '2022-2023'

Institute: Symbiosis Institute of Technology Nagpur

Turn: '1' Faculty: All

Subject: Engineering Mathematics -II, Chemistry, Chemistry Lab, Basic Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Basic Electrical and Electronics Engineering Lab, Programming and Problem Solving, Programming and Problem Solving Lab, Engineering Graphics Lab, Critical Thinking, Software Tools, Tinker Lab, Physics, Physics lab, Programming in C, Programming in C Lab, Communication Skills, Communication Skills lab, Creative Thinking, Environmental

Total Number of Respondents: 163 Show List Remaining Respondents Count: 22 Show List

Name of Report: Analysis & Action taken on Student Feedback

Class / Semester: B. Tech CS I ( 2022-2026) SEM II

Sr.No.	Ouestion			Action Taken			
1	The course was overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Agree, Name such courses	Strongly Agree	Agree 5	Neutral 131	Disagree 95	Strongly Disagree 57	
2	I was informed about our expected competencies, course outcomes (CO), and programme outcomes(PO)*	Strongly Agree 86	Agree	Neutral 127	Disagree 44	Strongly Disagree 30	
3	The curriculum is relevant to and provides for flexibility to meet my learning needs	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	<b>1</b> .
	incalounty to meet my terming	90	110	122	38	23	
4	Adequate co-curricular learning opportunities are provided to me to support the curricular	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
	learning	95	108	124	43	24	
5	The course is relevant to the industry	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
5	requirements.	100	111	121	30	24	
6	The number of hours allocated to the course are	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
0	adequate.	. 89	113	113	34	25	
7	The faculty used the different pedagogies such as Case studies, Roleplay, Industry visit,	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
	presentations etc.	89	113	123	52	32	
8	My performance in internal evaluation was	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
	discussed with me.	87	106	123	42	31	
9	Rate the faculty teaching the course.	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Needs improvement	
9	Rate the faculty leaching the course.	119	103	129	95	58	

Yes/No Question Type

Sr.No.	Question	/No Questi Paran			
	Yes/No Wi	th Remark	Question	Type	
Sr.No.	Question	Paran	neters	Comments For Action Taken	Remarks Of Head of Institution
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added in the program structure?	Yes	No	Extra course fir students who cant keep up with teachers speed(Remedials)	Remedial Classes already planned for slow learner
110		4	163	Some competitive coding part should be taught	Ok
2	The placement of the course is in the appropriate semester. If No, Please specify the	Yes	No		OK .
11 A SASSAN ASSASSAN	correct semester	23	163		
3	Any suggestions for the faculty to improve	Yes	No	Sir teaches way too fast and its often hard to keep up with him	Discussed with Teacher
		19	178	Should try to teach more lively	Discussed with

## Name of the Institute: Symbiosis Institute Of Technology Nagpur

Name of the Program : Computer Science

Students Feedback for design and review of Syllabus

Academic Year: "2022-2023"

Average Number of Respondents 131 Number of Respondents : 163 Turn 1

Sr.No.	Five Star Question Type  Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	The course was overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Agree, Name such courses	2	1	75	35	18
2	I was informed about our expected competencies, course outcomes (CO), and programme outcomes(PO)*	29	41	51	5	5
3	The curriculum is relevant to and provides for flexibility to meet my learning needs	30	44	48	4	4
4	Adequate co-curricular learning opportunities are provided to me to support the curricular learning	30	40	50	6	4
5	The course is relevant to the industry requirements.	33	44	46	4	4
6	The number of hours allocated to the course are adequate.	32	46	45	4	4
7	The faculty used the different pedagogies such as Case studies, Roleplay, Industry visit, presentations etc.	28	41	48	9	5
8	My performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me.	29	41	49	6	5
9	Rate the faculty teaching the course.	37	25	. 40	20	8


Yes/No Question Type

	1 00/1/10 2		
Sr.No.	Question	Parameters	
1	The topics were overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Yes, name such topics with course details	8	8


Yes/No With Remark Question Type

	10000	Yes	No
Sr.No	Question Question	0	130
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added in the program		0
	The placement of the course is in the appropriate semester. If No, Please specify	131	U
2	the correct semester	2	129
3	Any suggestions for the faculty to improve		





Student Feedback



Signature of Director

Director Symbiosis Institute of Technology Nagpur





### Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Nagpur

Constitute of Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune



#### Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report

Academic Year: '2022-2023'

Institute: Symbiosis Institute of Technology Nagpur

Turn : '2' Faculty: All

Subject: Engineering Mathematics -II, Chemistry, Chemistry Lab, Basic Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Basic Electrical and Electronics Engineering Lab, Programming and Problem Solving, Programming and Problem Solving Lab, Engineering Graphics Lab, Critical Thinking, Software Tools, Tinker Lab, Physics, Physics lab, Programming in C, Programming in C Lab, Communication Skills, Communication Skills lab, Creative Thinking, Environmental Science.

Total Number of Respondents: 167 Show List Remaining Respondents Count: 18 Show List

Name of Report: Analysis & Action taken on Student Feedback

Class / Semester: B. Tech CS I (2022-2026) SEM II

r.No.	Question	1	Parameters					
1	The course was overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Agree, Name such courses	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral 134	Disagree 82	Strongly Disagree 61	I dont understand Discuss with Teacher	
2	I was informed about our expected competencies, course outcomes (CO), and programme outcomes(PO)*	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral 135	Disagree 54	Strongly Disagree 34		
3	The curriculum is relevant to and provides for flexibility to meet my learning needs	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
		85	104	126	41	36		
4	Adequate co-curricular learning opportunities are provided to me to support the curricular learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
	provided to the to support the curricular learning	87	98	133	43	38		
5	The course is relevant to the industry requirements.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
		90	106	129	39	36		
6	The number of hours allocated to the course are	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
	adequate.	89	104	123	42	38		
7	The faculty used the different pedagogies such as Case studies, Roleplay, Industry visit, presentations	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	1 1/2	
	etc.	91	99	130	58	40		
1)	My performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
2)	With the.	94	104	127	47	38		
9	Rate the faculty teaching the course.	Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Needs improvement		
		117	102	122	109	68		

Sr.No.	Question		Parameters
		N/ /NI - NN/	th Daniel Ourstine True

Yes/No With Remark Ouestion Type

Sr.No.	Question	Paramet	ters	Comments For Action Taken	Remarks Of Head of Institution
1 1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added in the program structure?	Yes	No	I dont understand	Remedial Classes already planned for slow learner
/		4	167		
2	The placement of the course is in the appropriate	Yes	No		
2	semester. If No, Please specify the correct semester	167	1		
2	A C	Yes	No		
5	Any suggestions for the faculty to improve	21	167		

Name of the Institute: Symbiosis Institute Of Technology Nagpur

Name of the Program : Computer Science Students Feedback for design and review of Syllabus

Academic Year: "2022-2023" Number of Respondents: 167

No. of responses based on the Course , Faculty , student : 2558 No. based on the Course , Faculty Combination : 38

Five Star Question Type


Sr.No.	Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	The course was overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Agree, Name such courses	2	1	1616	548	391
2	I was informed about our expected competencies, course outcomes (CO), and programme outcomes(PO)*	515	654	1184	114	91
3	The curriculum is relevant to and provides for flexibility to meet my learning needs	546	698	1124	98	92
4	Adequate co-curricular learning opportunities are provided to me to support the curricular learning	563	656	1129	116	94
5	The course is relevant to the industry requirements.	568	692	1123	80	95
6	The number of hours allocated to the course are adequate.	565	705	1094	88	106
7	The faculty used the different pedagogies such as Case studies, Roleplay, Industry visit, presentations etc.	562	658	1103	128	107
8	My performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me.	586	693	1078	94	107
9	Rate the faculty teaching the course.	669	493	713	506	177

Yes/No Question Type

Sr.No.	Question	Parame	ters
1	The topics were overlapping with the courses taught earlier / during the semester. If Yes, name such topics with course details	0	0

Yes/No With Remark Question Type

Sr.No	Question	Yes	No
	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added in the program structure?	4	2554
2	The placement of the course is in the appropriate semester. If No, Please specify the correct semester	2557	1
3	Any suggestions for the faculty to improve	31	2527



Signature of Director

SON AND PORT OF THE OF

Director

Tymbiosis Institute of Technology

Nagpur